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The development of new oil sands projects slowed significantly in the 2009 economic climate. This has reduced the outlook for oil sands production and upgrading. Is this a pause before new development occurs? Or is this an opportunity for change?

The enormous bitumen resources of Canada’s oil sands are conveniently located next to the largest oil market in the world. But the U.S. market seems to prefer not to use so-called “dirty oil”. What must the oil sands industry do to meet this new challenge?

The paper will show how the outlook for oil sands production has changed, both for bitumen and for synthetic crude oil. It will discuss plans and future needs for petroleum products and refining, as related to oil sands.

The paper will discuss some of the challenges facing the oil sands industry. Issues include the qualities of synthetic crudes and bitumen blends, project costs, natural gas use and environmental considerations.

The paper will present some opportunities for change. These include technologies for new products, new markets and pipelines, and environmental improvements.
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Presentation Outline

- Oil Sands Supply Outlook
- Petroleum Market Trends
- Oil Sands Product Opportunities
- Costs and Value Drivers
- Greenhouse Gas Considerations
Purvin & Gertz and Oil Sands

- **International Energy Consultancy**
  - Private and Independent
- **Firm established in 1947**
  - Headquartered in Houston
  - Maintained office in Calgary since 1973
- **35 years in oil sands (Canada and Venezuela)**
- **Maintain short-term and long-term forecasts**
  - Supply / Demand
  - Pricing
- **Refining and upgrading**
  - Technologies, Costs / Economics
- **Independent Engineer for banks/investors**
  - Initial project reviews and project reports
  - Project monitoring and certification of progress
Oil sands forecasts have driven down Canadian crude supply forecasts in recent years.

CAPP “In Construction” case would only complete existing construction projects.
The downturn has dramatically changed the outlook for Alberta oil sands projects

- Low projected upgrader returns has shifted focus to developing heavy crude
  - Upgrader deferrals

- Mine / SAGD project delays could slow bitumen growth

- Projected balance for heavy crude supports need for continued development
  - Increased coker capacity
  - Declining traditional sources of heavy crude

- Not all projects are cancelled or deferred; for example:
  - Imperial / Exxon, Kearl
  - Devon, Jackfish II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Suncor Voyageur</td>
<td>Firebag In-situ delayed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Upgrader delayed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shell AOSP Phase II</td>
<td>Mine/upgrader expansion on hold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shell - Peace River</td>
<td>In-Situ Permit Application withdrawn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Hills</td>
<td>Mine decision delayed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Upgrader indefinitely shelved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Merger with Suncor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Lake Phase II</td>
<td>In-Situ/upgrader decision delayed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Joslyn Creek</td>
<td>Mine delayed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Upgrader on-hold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Northern Lights</td>
<td>Mine/upgrader Permit Application withdrawn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>StatoilHydro</td>
<td>Upgrader cancelled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North West Upgrading</td>
<td>On-hold, pending financing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BA Energy</td>
<td>In administration, upgrader shelved</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Light crude includes upgraded synthetic crude

CAPP did not reduce supply forecast for light crude until 2009

Heavy crude supply forecasts have fallen less than light crude

Bitumen production forecasts have fallen but so have upgrading forecasts
Issues Facing the Canadian Oil Sands

Global Factors
- Economy
- Capital Costs
- Supply / Demand
- Geopolitical factors

National / Regional
- Trade Barriers
- Environmental Regs
- Resource constraints (capital, labour)
- Royalty Issues

Market Factors
- Downstream Capacity
- Infrastructure
- SCO Quality
- Diluent Choice
World refined product demand growth follows GDP

Annual Change, Million B/D

- 2000-2005 Average: 1.79%
- 2005-2010 Average: 0.22%
Global growth in refined product demand is largely in distillate transportation fuels.

Refined Product Demand, Million Barrels per Day

- Gasoline
- Naphtha
- Kero/Jet
- Gasoil
- Residual Fuel Oil
- Other

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Gasoline</th>
<th>Naphtha</th>
<th>Kero/Jet</th>
<th>Gasoil</th>
<th>Residual Fuel Oil</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>77.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>77.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>79.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>84.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- 2005: 38.7%
- 2010: 39.7%
- 2015: 40.7%
Demand for heavy crude expected to increase with new conversion capacity

- **Increased conversion capacity a response to:**
  - Wide light / heavy spread
  - Corresponding high coker returns

- **However, heavy crude supply tightness projected in Atlantic basin**
  - Regional declines
  - Potential shortfalls filled with light sours / fuel oil

- **Opportunity for Canadian producers**
Refined product specification changes

- **Ongoing regulatory improvements**
- **Low sulphur gasoline & diesel**
  - More for heating oil, off-road diesel etc.
- **Benzene in gasoline**
- **Biofuels impact (ethanol & bio-diesel)**
- **Sulphur in resid fuel oil**
  - Local restrictions
  - Marine bunker (may have to switch to diesel, impacting resid supply)
Important regulatory changes are happening which impact long-term demand.

- **US: Energy Independence and Security Act**
  - Sharp increase in new vehicle efficiency standards
    - Passenger car fleet changes
    - Light-duty truck fleet changes
  - Substantial increase in the use of biofuels
    - Corn ethanol to 15 billion gallons by 2015
    - Total renewables target of 36 BGY by 2022, but authority to lower advanced fuels requirements

- **Europe: EU climate policy is primary driver**
  - Increased vehicle efficiency to lower carbon emissions
  - Aggressive targets set for biofuels in gasoline and diesel, but targets have now been scaled back
The intersection of oil sands technologies & markets

- Upgrading technologies determine product quality and yield as well as costs and environmental footprint
- Product quality impacts market and price
- Price, yield and cost are major economic drivers
Light sweet SCO not fully interchangeable with conventional crudes

SCO not readily marketable to coking / asphalt refineries

Distillate and VGO quality considerations
The ideal SCO for the market?

- SCO value is dependent on the ratio of cut fractions
- High VGO quantities tend to be discounted due to processing limits
- High naphtha quantities result in lower refining product yields – potentially lowering value
- Optimal SCO is refinery specific

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Naphtha / VGO Ratio</th>
<th>Relative SCO Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Higher Naphtha</td>
<td>Higher VGO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Optimal Range
Distillation Comparison
Bitumen Blends

- DilBit quality close to Maya, but with more naphtha & less distillate
- SynBit quality close to Arab Heavy but with much more VGO & less naphtha
- Western Canadian Select (WCS) is a blend with DilBit, SynBit and conventional heavy crude
- Athabasca and Peace River blends have high acid content
Canadian diluent options

- **Import Condensate**
  - USGC or West Coast

- **Naphtha recycle via Southern Lights P/L**
  - Chicago to Edmonton in late 2010
  - Light Straight Run Naphtha (LSRN)

- **SCO for SynBit**
  - SCO value as a bitumen diluent not likely to be fully recognized until refiners can trust segregation and composition

- **NGL diluents (such as butane and/or LPG mixes)**…
  - USGC NGL values generally at Edmonton plus transportation

- **HotBit**
  - No diluent - rail from Alberta with possible backhaul of condensate

- **Avoid diluent with low conversion upgrading**
Impact of diluent quality on refiners

- Condensate imports & LSRN recycle to be pooled on Southern Lights pipeline

- May be pooled with Canadian C5+ supply
  - Diluent quality could change

- Impact on refinery A and B is different
  - Refinery A offloads LSRN to Refinery B
Sour, heavy SCO expected to increase

- **Lower capital requirements for the upgrader**
  - Refiner assumes the “load” of hydrotreating product to meet specs
  - Refinery cokers need vac. resid

- **Potentially unique processing / transport issues**
  - Olefins, nitrogen, sulfur, gravity
  - Issues with traditional processing, including crude unit
  - Pipeline limitations

---

**Graph:**
- Thousand Barrels per Day
- **Sour / Heavy SCO**
- **Sweet SCO**

**Years:** 2000, 2005, 2010, 2015, 2020
Synthetic medium sour crude production

Bitumen → “Black Box” → Medium Sour Synthetic

Approaches:

- Coking Upgrader
  - Quality depends on bitumen by-pass

- Resid Hydrocracking Upgrader
  - Quality depends on conversion & product stability

- New Technology Upgrader
  - Opportunity??
  - Capex? Opex? Yield?
  - Quality?
    - minimize olefins
    - minimize nitrogen
Bitumen netback prices down sharply from 2008 peak, but have recovered in recent months.

**Bitumen Netback, US$ per Barrel**

- **SynBit Basis (MacKay River)**
- **DilBit Basis (Cold Lake Blend)**
- **MSW - WCS**

**Narrow light/heavy differential**
Factors influencing the light / heavy differential

- Global crude production
- Type of crude produced
- Bottoms content of crude
- Demand for light products
  - Conversion equipment availability
- Demand for heavy products
  - Residual fuel oil & asphalt
- Local supply / demand
  - Market outlets
- Applicable discounts

Residue supply

Residue demand

Regional factors
Will higher product price add value?

- ILLUSTRATIVE -

**Then**
- Wide
- Conversion
- Hydrotreating
- Distillate qualities

**Now**
- Light – Heavy Objectives
  - Tight
  - Pipeline Specs
  - Minimum capital
  - Keep vac resid
  - Olefins

**Issues**
- Product Price, $/B
- % Return
- % Upgrading

(low heavy crude price)

(high heavy crude price)
Gas consumption rising but price is low

Bitumen versus Gas Price

Canadian Dollars per GJ

- Natural Gas, AECO
- Bitumen Netback, Alberta

- Concerns re. growing gas use for oil sands vs. other clean fuel users

- Gas price for fuel exceeded bitumen price most of the time from 2003 to 2007
  - Now less expensive & forecast to continue
  - Low price will not deter gas use

- Petroleum coke is stockpiled and virtually free, but high cost for combustion equipment & desulphurization
Capital cost indices are declining…

- Rapid increases in capital cost appear to have abated
  - Material cost declines
  - Higher labour productivity
  - Lower margins for contractors and vendors
  - Indices based on actual costs

- “Real” data points for large projects are tricky until projects are built

- Additional capital requirements in future for GHG initiatives
Greenhouse gas / regulatory issues

- **Canadian Plans**
  - Federal/Provincial tensions
    - Alberta has long term reduction targets, initially intensity based
    - Federal government plans long term (2050) limits
  - Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS) is favoured
    - Alberta has geological potential
    - High cost infrastructure
    - May encourage gasification, despite water supply concerns
  - Market mechanisms with costs are uncertain
  - Commitment/ability to harmonization with US?

- **US Plans**
  - Carbon life-cycle regulations (Market Issue)
    - US Energy Bill / Government fleet limitations
    - California Proposal (Low Carbon Fuel Standard)
  - Limits use of fuels derived from non-conventional sources with a carbon life-cycle greater than that of conventional oil
Greenhouse gas emissions along value chain

Bitumen Production (SAGD) → Upgrading → Refining → Refined Product Use as Fuel

- 7,330 t/d CO$_2$ (1)
- 6,460 t/d CO$_2$ (1)
- 3,830 t/d CO$_2$ (1)
- 35,750 t/d CO$_2$ (2)

Notes:
1. CO$_2$ emissions estimated from fuel gas and power use
2. Refined products assumed = 65% gasoline, 32% diesel, 3% LPG

- CO$_2$ from refined product use is more than double CO$_2$ from processing energy
  - Higher efficiency product use would reduce fuel demand and need for bitumen, but oil sands supply “push” could displace other crude oil sources
- CO$_2$ from processing depends on efficiency and fuel type
  - Heavier fuels like coke or heavy oil would increase CO$_2$ emissions
  - Nuclear fuel (where appropriate) would avoid CO$_2$
  - EOR use or sequestration from large point sources may be needed
- Impact of GHG regulations / penalties uncertain
CO₂ emissions vary by refinery configuration

- Emissions originate from a number of sources
  - Fuel consumption
  - Carbon burn on FCC unit
  - Hydrogen plant vents
- Emissions tend to increase with complexity
- Full emissions cost (no allowances) a significant “operating cost” addition
- Emissions shown on a “downstream” basis
  - Most recent U.S. bill (HR 2454) includes the refinery emissions as well as emissions from the fuel sold (“upstream” basis)

### Refinery CO₂ Emissions

- **$/B Crude**
- **kT/D CO₂**

- Sweet FCC Cracking
- Light Sour FCC Cracking
- Light Sour FCC / Coking
- Light Sour HCU / Coking
- Heavy Sour FCC / Coking

* $35.2/T CO₂ - European Climate Exchange, Phase II, 2008 Average Price
** 100 kb/d crude, includes power generation
Cost of non compliance for GHG reduction

- GHG reduction costs uncertain
- Current GHG costs low
- Potentially high cost

Syncrude GHG Emissions:
- 133 t CO2E/ thousand barrels in 2007
- 12% reduction from 2007
- 50% reduction from 2007

Syncrude GHG Emissions:
- Current Alberta Penalty

GHG reduction costs uncertain
Current GHG costs low
Potentially high cost
GHG emission mitigation options for upgrading in Alberta

- **Carbon Capture & Storage (CCS)**
  - including Enhanced Oil Recovery (EOR)

- **Cogeneration with gas or syngas**
  - receive credits against coal fired power generation

- **Coke stockpiling (carbon storage)**
  - delayed coking advantage over fluid coking

- **Use natural gas, not coke, as fuel**
  - unless CCS employed

- **Increased efficiency**
  - lower intensity

- **Low conversion processing & less hydrogen use**
  - lower intensity
  - more upgrading & emissions would occur at downstream refineries in Canada and elsewhere

- **Alternate markets for bitumen (blends)**

- **New technology**
Concluding Remarks

- Western Canada development outlook favours bitumen production over conventional upgrading
- Future growth in petroleum products is distillate
- Light / Heavy crude spread to remain narrow as conversion capacity comes on stream
  - Favours new upgrading concepts and technologies
  - Capital cost reductions open opportunities
- Severity of environmental regulations still unclear
  - Will call for creative solutions with effective technologies

Will the players be ready when the curtain rises?
Production and marketability of Oil Sands bitumen blends and synthetic crude oil, crude price differentials, economics of upgrading and diluent issues are analyzed in Purvin & Gertz’ ongoing multi-client service, *Crude Oil & Oil Sands Market Outlook*.

This presentation has been prepared for the sole benefit of the participants of the NCUT Upgrading and Refining Conference, 2009. Neither the presentation nor any part of the presentation shall be provided to third parties without the written consent of Purvin & Gertz. Any third party in possession of the presentation may not rely upon its conclusions without the written consent of Purvin & Gertz. Possession of the presentation does not carry with it the right of publication.

Purvin & Gertz prepared this presentation utilizing reasonable care and skill in applying methods of analysis consistent with normal industry practice. All results are based on information available at the time of review. Changes in factors upon which the review is based could affect the results. Forecasts are inherently uncertain because of events or combinations of events that cannot reasonably be foreseen including the actions of government, individuals, third parties and competitors. **NO IMPLIED WARRANTY OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE SHALL APPLY.**

Some of the information on which this presentation is based has been provided by others. Purvin & Gertz has utilized such information without verification unless specifically noted otherwise. Purvin & Gertz accepts no liability for errors or inaccuracies in information provided by others.